

Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)
 robert.mccauley@finnegan.com
 Arpita Bhattacharyya (SBN 316454)
 arpita.bhattacharyya@finnegan.com
 Jeffrey D. Smyth (SBN 280665)
 jeffrey.smyth@finnegan.com
**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP**
 3300 Hillview Avenue
 Palo Alto, California 94304
 Telephone: (650) 849-6600
 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
 ASETEK DANMARK A/S and
 Counterdefendant ASETEK USA, INC.*

Heidi L. Keefe (SBN 178960)
 hkeefe@cooley.com
 Reuben H. Chen (SNB 228725)
 rchen@cooley.com
 Daniel J. Knauss (SBN 267414)
 dknauss@cooley.com
 Deepa Kannappan (SBN 313573)
 dkannappan@cooley.com
 Alexandra Leeper (SBN 307310)
 aleeper@cooley.com
COOLEY LLP
 3175 Hanover Street
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
 Telephone: (650) 843-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400
**additional attorneys listed in signature block*

*Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
 COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC., and Defendants
 COOLIT SYSTEMS USA INC., COOLIT
 SYSTEMS ASIA PACIFIC LIMITED, COOLIT
 SYSTEMS (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD., CORSAIR
 GAMING, INC. and CORSAIR MEMORY, INC.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ASETEK DANMARK A/S,

 Plaintiff and
 Counterdefendant,

 ASETEK USA, INC.,

 Counterdefendant,

 v.

 COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC.,

 Defendant and
 Counterclaimant,

 COOLIT SYSTEMS USA INC., COOLIT
 SYSTEMS ASIA PACIFIC LIMITED, COOLIT
 SYSTEMS (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.,

 Defendants,

 CORSAIR GAMING, INC. and CORSAIR
 MEMORY, INC.,

 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:19-cv-00410-EMC

**FURTHER JOINT CASE
 MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

Date: February 10, 2022
 Time: 1:00 PM
 Location: Courtroom 5, 17th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen

1 Plaintiff and Counterdefendant Asetek Danmark A/S and Asetek USA, Inc. (“Asetek”) and
 2 Defendant and Counterclaimant CoolIT Systems, Inc. and Defendants CoolIT Systems USA Inc.,
 3 CoolIT Systems Asia Pacific Limited, CoolIT Systems (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., Corsair Gaming, Inc.
 4 and Corsair Memory, Inc. (collectively, “CoolIT”) jointly submit this FURTHER JOINT CASE
 5 MANAGEMENT STATEMENT.

6 1. **Jurisdiction & Service**

7 The basis for the Court’s subject matter jurisdiction over Asetek’s claims and CoolIT’s
 8 counterclaims are the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Specifically,
 9 this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). All parties have been
 10 served.

11 2. **Facts**

12 Following final written decisions from the PTAB finding the asserted claims of two Asetek
 13 patents unpatentable, at trial Asetek plans to assert four claims from three patents, i.e., claims 17 and
 14 19 from U.S. Patent No. 8,240,362 (“the ’362 patent”), which is not subject to IPR, and claim 6 of
 15 U.S. Patent No. 10,613,601 (“the ’601 patent”) and claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 10,599,196 (“the 196
 16 patent”), on which the PTAB recently instituted IPRs.¹ CoolIT has filed a motion to stay the case
 17 entirely or, in the alternative, for only claims that have not been invalidated or not subject to instituted
 18 IPRs to advance towards trial—i.e., claims 17 and 19 of Asetek’s ’362 patent; and, claims 1, 4, 12, 14,
 19 and 15 of CoolIT’s U.S. Patent No. 8,746,330, claims 3, 5, 15, and 20 of CoolIT’s U.S. Patent No.
 20 9,603,284, and claims 13 and 15 of CoolIT’s U.S. Patent No. 10,274,266 (the “’266 patent”). CoolIT’s
 21 motion is scheduled to be heard on the same day as the upcoming case management conference. The
 22 issues and parties’ positions on that motion will not be repeated here for sake of brevity.

23 CoolIT and Corsair deny Asetek’s allegations of infringement. Dkt. Nos. 365, 366. Asetek
 24 denies CoolIT’s allegations of infringement. Dkt. No. 273.

26
 27 ¹ Asetek’s second amended complaint alleges that CoolIT and Corsair infringe Asetek’s ’362, ’601,
 28 and ’196 patents, and U.S. Patent Nos. 10,078,354 (“the ’354 patent”); 10,078,355 (“the ’355
 patent”). Dkt. No. 228. The asserted claims of Asetek’s ’354 and ’355 patents were found
 unpatentable by the PTAB in IPR decisions that Asetek has appealed to the Court of Appeals for the
 Federal Circuit. Those patents will not be asserted in the trial scheduled for July 2022.

3. **Legal Issues**

- a) Whether the asserted Asetek Patents are valid and enforceable;
- b) Whether CoolIT infringes any valid and enforceable claim of the asserted Asetek Patents;
- c) Whether CoolIT's infringement of any valid and enforceable claim of the asserted Asetek Patents, if any, was willful;
- d) Whether the asserted CoolIT Patents are valid and enforceable;
- e) Whether Asetek infringes any valid and enforceable claim of the asserted CoolIT patents;
- f) Whether Asetek's infringement of any valid and enforceable asserted claim of the CoolIT Patents, if any, was willful;
- g) Whether this case is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling any party to an award of attorneys' fees;

4. **Motions**

The following motion is pending and scheduled to be heard on the same day as the scheduled case management conference as identified below:

	Hearing Date	Description	Submissions
1.	02/10/2022 at 1:00 p.m.	Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	Dkt. No. 350 Dkt. No. 356 Dkt. No. 364

The parties also anticipate filing dispositive and Daubert motions, and may file other appropriate motions as this case progresses.

5. **Amendment of Pleadings**

The parties' stipulated "Deadline to move to add parties or amend the pleadings" was February 12, 2021. Dkt. Nos. 222-2 (proposed scheduled), 227 (order adopting schedule).

1 **Evidence Preservation**

2 The parties certify that they have reviewed the Court's ESI Guidelines, and confirm that they
3 have discussed and taken reasonable steps to preserve ESI. Each party shall take reasonable affirmative
4 steps to continue to preserve evidence related to the issues presented by the action.

5 **Disclosures**

6 Asetek and CoolIT exchanged Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures on June 6, 2019. CoolIT served
7 supplemental disclosures on July 16, 2021. Corsair served its initial disclosures on July 16, 2021.

8 **Discovery**

9 Fact discovery closed on August 31, 2021. Dkt. No. 260. Expert discovery closed on January
10 10, 2022 (Dkt. No. 325), although Magistrate Judge Beeler has allowed Defendants two additional
11 hours to further depose Asetek's technical expert. The parties are discussing a date for that deposition.

12 **Class Actions**

13 This case is not a class action.

14 **IPRs and Related Cases**

15 Both parties have filed petitions seeking *Inter Partes* review of certain of the patents involved
16 in the present litigation, as noted in the parties' December 15, 2021 Further Joint Case Management
17 Statement, which is incorporated herein by reference. Dkt. No. 201 at 3-4.

18 On August 19, 2021, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision in CoolIT's IPR2020-00523
19 finding the asserted claims of Asetek's '354 patent unpatentable. On the same day, the PTAB also
20 issued a Final Written Decision in CoolIT's IPR2020-00522 finding the asserted claims of Asetek's
21 '355 patent unpatentable. Asetek believes the PTAB made errors of law and has appealed these
22 decisions.

23 On September 30, 2021, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision finding the asserted claims
24 of CoolIT's 9,057,567 patent ("the '567 patent") unpatentable in IPR2020-00747. On October 12,
25 2021, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision finding the some of the asserted claims of CoolIT's
26 '266 patent unpatentable and some of the asserted claims patentable in IPR2020-00825. On December
27 2, 2021, CoolIT filed a notice of appeal on the '567 patent. On December 13, 2021, Asetek filed a
28 notice of appeal concerning the claims of the '266 patent that the PTAB did not find invalid.

Further, the PTAB issued decisions instituting *Inter Partes* Review on Asetek's '601 and '196 patents on December 28, 2021 in IPR2021-01195 and IPR2021-01196.

11. **Relief**

Each party is seeking damages for alleged infringement of its patents and a trebling of damages for alleged willful infringement; costs and attorney's fees in defending against this lawsuit; and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. Each party believes the other is not entitled to any relief. The parties exchanged opening expert reports on infringement, invalidity, and damages on November 3, 2021, and rebuttal expert reports on December 8, 2021. Expert discovery is closed except for the additional two hours of deposition of Asetek's technical expert discussed above.

12. **Settlement and ADR**

This case has been referred to Magistrate Judge Sallie Kim for settlement purposes. Three settlement conferences have been held, in December 2019, September 2020, and last week on February 3, 2022. Magistrate Judge Kim has also scheduled a further session on May 18, 2022.

13. **Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes**

Whether all parties will consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of judgment. ____ YES __X__ NO

14. **Other References**

This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

15. **Narrowing of Issues**

The parties are not currently aware of issues that can be narrowed by agreement or motion. The parties will continue to evaluate and work together to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial, but they do not have any specific joint proposals at this time.

16. **Expedited Trial Procedure**

The parties do not believe that this is the type of case that can be handled on an expedited basis with streamlined procedures.

17. **Scheduling**

Pursuant to the Court's October 11, 2021 Amended Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 325) and Order Granting the parties' Stipulated Request to Continue Dispositive Motion Deadlines (Dkt. No. 374), the current case schedule is as follows:

Event	Dates
Deadline to file dispositive motions	February 24, 2022
Hearing on dispositive motions	March 31, 2022
Meet and confer re pretrial conference statements	May 16, 2022
Joint pretrial conference statement/trial briefs	June 7, 2022
Objections due	June 14, 2022
Pretrial conference	June 28, 2022
Trial	July 25, 2022
Estimate of trial length (in days)	10 court days

18. **Trial**

The parties have requested that this case be tried by jury. The parties believe trial will likely take 10 court days.

19. **Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons****Asetek's Statement:**

Asetek filed its L.R. 3-15 certification of interested parties on January 23, 2019, identifying Asetek Holdings, Inc.; Asetek A/S; and Arbejdsmarkedets Tillægspension.

CoolIT's Statement:

CoolIT filed its L.R. 3-15 certification on February 25, 2019. CoolIT certifies that other than the named parties, there is no such interest to report.

20. **Professional Conduct**

Counsel of record for the parties has reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the Northern District of California.

21. **Other**

None.

Dated: February 7, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Smyth
Jeffrey D. Smyth

Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)
robert.mccauley@finnegan.com
Arpita Bhattacharyya (SBN 316454)
arpita.bhattacharyya@finnegan.com
Jeffrey D. Smyth (SBN 280665)
jeffrey.smyth@finnegan.com
**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP**
3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304
Telephone: (650) 849-6600
Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
ASETEK DANMARK A/S and
Counterdefendant ASETEK USA, INC.*

1 Dated: February 7, 2022

COOLEY LLP/ GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP /
DICKINSON WRIGHT RLLP

2
3 By: /s/ Reuben H. Chen
Reuben H. Chen

4 Heidi L. Keefe (SBN 178960)
5 hkeefe@cooley.com
6 Reuben H. Chen (SNB 228725)
rchen@cooley.com
7 Daniel J. Knauss (SBN 267414)
dknauss@cooley.com
8 Deepa Kannappan (SBN 313573)
dkannappan@cooley.com
9 Alexandra Leeper (SBN 307310)
aleeper@cooley.com
10 **COOLEY LLP**
3175 Hanover Street
11 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
Telephone: (650) 843-5000
12 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400

13 Dustin M. Knight (*pro hac vice*)
dknight@cooley.com
14 **COOLEY LLP**
11951 Freedom Drive, 16th Floor
15 Reston, VA 20190
Telephone: (703) 456-8000
16 Facsimile: (703) 456-8100

17 *Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*
18 *COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC. and Defendants COOLIT*
19 *SYSTEMS USA INC., COOLIT SYSTEMS ASIA*
PACIFIC LIMITED, COOLIT SYSTEMS
(SHENZHEN) CO., LTD., CORSAIR GAMING,
INC. and CORSAIR MEMORY, INC.

20 Kyle D. Chen (SBN 239501)
kchen@gtlaw.com
21 **GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP**
1900 University, Avenue, 5th Floor
22 East Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 289-7887
23 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

24 *Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*
25 *COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC.*

26 Kenneth J. Dyer (SBN 191192)
KDyer@dickinsonwright.com
27 **DICKINSON WRIGHT RLLP**
800 West California Avenue, Suite 110
Sunnyvale, CA 94086
28 Telephone: (408) 701-6170
Facsimile: (884) 670-6009

*Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC. and Defendants COOLIT
SYSTEMS USA INC., COOLIT SYSTEMS ASIA
PACIFIC LIMITED, COOLIT SYSTEMS
(SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.*

ATTESTATION

Counsel for Asetek Danmark A/S hereby attests by his signature below that concurrence in the filing of this document was obtained from counsel for CoolIT Systems, Inc., CoolIT Systems USA Inc., CoolIT Systems Asia Pacific Limited, CoolIT Systems (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., Corsair Gaming, Inc., and Corsair Memory, Inc.

Dated: February 7, 2022

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Smyth
Jeffrey D. Smyth
*Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
ASETEK DANMARK A/S and
Counterdefendant ASETEK USA, INC.*